This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,375	01/31/2001	John F. McEntee	10004032-1	7821	
	7590 02/14/2003				
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES			EXAMINER		
Legal Department, 51U-PD Intellectual Property Administration			QUAN, ELIZ	ZABETH S	
P.O. Box 5804 Santa Clara, C	43 CA 95052-8043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
January C			1743	5	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	rh

0	0.0775 375	Į.					
	9/775,375		MCENTEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	xamin r		Art Unit				
	lizabeth Quan		1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a		nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.	_						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requireme	ent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra			• •				
			ved by the Examin	ег.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a microarray strip, classified in class 422, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 23 and 24; drawn to a method of manufacturing an extended microarray with a number of features, classified in class 493, subclass 51 or class 53, subclass 396.
 - III. Claims 25-30, drawn to a method for distributing a number of microarrays, classified in class 53, subclass 396 or class 493, subclass 51.
 - IV. Claim 31, drawn to a method for scanning a sequence of microarrays, classified in class 493, subclass 51 or class 53, subclass 396.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, as the particulars of the product, such as a cover strip and a pocket strip with a number of pockets, have not been recited in the process. The product recites that a cover strip is bonded to the pocket strip, but the process of making does not recite such a step in the creation of the packaging for the microarrays. A box with a lid and several compartments can be used to store the microarrays. Each of the plurality of wells of a microtiter plate can contain microarrays. The process as

Art Unit: 1743

claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as microarrays without features.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as performing and analyzing assays. The product does not recite the steps of the process for using, such as packaging in a particular order, recording the order, and shipping the strip and recordation together. The process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, as the process for using does not recite the particulars of the product, such as a pocket strip with a number of products and a cover strip bonded to the pocket strip.
- Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced by another materially different product, as the process for using the product does not recite the particulars of the products, such as a pocket strip with a number of pockets and transparent cover strip. The product can be a microscope slide or microtiter plate. The product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as performing and analyzing

Art Unit: 1743

assays. The product does not recite the steps of the process for using, such as scanning each microarray through the transparent pocket strip.

- 5. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not recite the particulars of packaging the microarrays, such as partitioning the features into groups of features, placing each group of features on different microarrays, and embedding the microarrays within a microarray strip in a particular sequence to provide an extended microarray. The subcombination has separate utility such as performing and analyzing assays in a microtiter plates, storing microarrays for further use, or developing film or negatives.
- 6. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as keeping track of the contents of each microarray and the operations the microarray have undergone or will undergo. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1743

8. A telephone call was made to Gordon Stewart on 1/15/2003 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Quan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1947. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elizabeth Quan

Examiner

Art Unit 1743

Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

eq February 11, 2003

Page 6